

AQA Computer Science A-Level
**4.6.2 Classification of programming
languages**
Past Paper Mark Schemes

January 2009 Comp 2

3	(a)		Load B ; Add #5; <i>A absolute addresses instead of A and B</i> Store A ;	3
---	-----	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

January 2012 Comp 2

1	a		Third (generation) // 3; R High Level Language	1	Do not reject high level language if answer also contains '3 rd generation' – refer upwards for anything else.
1	b	i	Hexadecimal // base 16; A Hex	1	Hex used in textbook

1	b	ii	Take up less space when printing/viewing; NE takes up less space Less likely to make errors; Op-codes are easier to recognize; Easier to understand; Less time taken when coding as more concise // quicker to program;		
---	---	----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

			NE – easier to read NE – quick to write	MAX 1	
--	--	--	----------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	--

1	b	iii	Lowest address : 00 Highest address : FF BOTH correct to gain one mark; Accept 0 for lowest address Accept 255 for highest address	1	Accept notation in front of hex &, \$
---	---	-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------

1	c	<p>When coding for execution speed; When coding to minimize object code size; When writing code to control devices / directly access hardware;</p> <p>A When coding for a specific processor; A – by example if maps to one of the above</p>	<p>MAX 1</p>	
---	---	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

January 2013 Comp 2

3		<p>General:</p> <p>Idea of 'quicker to write' or 'easier to write' [ONE MARK] <i>EXAMPLES:</i> Assembly language is quicker to write than machine code // HLL is quicker to write (compared to assembly code) // Assembly language is easier to write than machine code // HLL is easier to write (compared to assembly); [or opposites – slower to write / harder to write]</p> <p>Idea of 'understanding' [ONE MARK] <i>EXAMPLES:</i> Assembly code easier to understand than machine code // HLL easier to understand than assembly code;</p> <p>Idea of 'debugging' [ONE MARK] <i>EXAMPLES:</i> Assembly code easier to debug than machine code // HLL easier to debug (than assembly code);</p>	<p>MAX 8</p>
---	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------

Assembly language:

Solution expressed in terms of mnemonics;

A. an example of a full instruction (operand and opcode)

Easier to make mistakes in assembly language;

Instruction composed of op-code and operand;

Solution translated by using an assembler;

Code is hard to port to other types of computer // machine-oriented languages;

One assembly language instruction relates to one machine code instruction;

Situation – working on embedded hardware // need for small object code size // need for fast execution // need to access hardware/registers directly;

Imperative language:

Imperative is where the programmer gives the computer a sequence of instructions to perform;

Selection/Sequence/Iteration constructs available;

A. a full example of a selection/iteration construct

Library of pre-written functions available;

Solution translated by using a compiler / interpreter;

A compiler might not be available for a specific processor (disadvantage);

Situation – anything sensible that would need a

		<p>HLL (for example games programming)</p> <p>Declarative language: (Certain languages) define what is to be computed rather than how the computation is to be done; (Certain languages) lack side effects; (Certain languages) have a clear link to mathematical logic; (Certain languages) express solutions in terms of facts and rules // rule-based; (Certain languages) will use an inference engine to work out the answer; The user asks a question of the system rather than provide an algorithm of the solution; Uses back-chaining/backtracking; (Certain languages) express solutions using markup languages (such as HTML); (Certain languages) express solutions as CSS / regular expressions / (subset of) SQL; A. example code from part of a declarative program (ie an SQL statement) Situation – medical diagnosis // expert systems // database query //creating a web page/website ;</p> <p>Imperative and Declarative language: Solution expressed in terms of statements written using <u>English-like keywords</u>; Code easier than assembly language to port to other types of computer; One language statement maps to many (more than one) machine code instruction;</p> <p>NOTE: accept any sensible situation for each area.</p>	
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

June 2010 Comp 2

7	(d)	(ii)	<p>HLLs are problem oriented; HLL programs are portable // machine / platform independent ; English like <u>keywords/commands/syntax/code</u>; R closer to English Less code required // less tedious to program // one to many mapping of HLL statements to machine code commands; Quicker/easier to understand/write/debug/learn/maintain code; R just quicker/easier HLLs offer extra features e.g. data types/structures // structured statements // local variables // parameters // named variables/constants; R procedures/modular A example of a data structure NE "extra features" without example Speed of execution not crucial for most tasks so faster execution of assembly language not required; Most computer systems have a lot of (main) memory/RAM so compact object code not essential; Accept converse points for Assembly Language MAX 3</p>	3
---	-----	------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

June 2011 Comp 2

4	a	<p>Second (generation); A 2 R assembly code / language Note: Adding "assembly" / "assembler" does not talk out a valid mark for second / 2</p>	1
4	b	<p>(memory) Address / location / offset; A line number R instruction number</p>	1
4	c	<p>(y) Opcode / operation code; A op-code NE operation (z) Operand;</p>	2
4	d	<p>Individual Instructions: One to one / each assembly language instruction translates to one machine code instruction;</p> <p>Programs: Figure 2 assembly language equivalent of figure 3 // figure 3 machine code version of figure 2 // figure 3 is assembled version of figure 2; NE figure 3 "binary version" of figure 2 NE different generations of language</p>	1

June 2012 Comp 2

2	b		<p>Easier to understand; Takes less time to code (as using mnemonic opcodes and hex operands); Fewer mistakes made in coding; Ability to add comments to code; Use of symbolic names for operands // easier to remember opcodes/mnemonics; Use of labels; Easier to maintain/debug;</p> <p>NE easier to read/code/write NE quicker A converse points if clearly discussing machine code</p>	MAX 2
4	b		<p>Languages used for a specific problem type/domain;</p> <p>A different uses/purposes/tasks</p> <p>Access to specific data types; Providing different function libraries; Languages developed for specific hardware / devices ; Languages developed for visual applications/GUIs; Competition between different companies who develop languages;</p>	MAX 1

June 2016 AS Paper 2

04	1	Mark is for AO1 (knowledge) Machine code; A. bytecode A. object code I. reference to binary A. machine (language) as BOD	1
09	1	Marks are for AO1 (knowledge) Instructions are executed in a programmer-defined order // Imperative high level language programs define sequences of commands for the computer to perform; Imperative high level languages describe <i>how</i> to solve a problem (in terms of sequences of actions to be taken);	2
09	2	Marks are for AO1 (understanding) Programs written in a high-level language are machine independent / portable; People find it easier to debug high-level language programs; People find it easier to read/write/understand high-level language program code; High-level languages save time for programmers as they use fewer lines of program code; Programs written in a high-level language may not make best use of specific features of a particular processor; Programs written in a high-level language may not execute as quickly; Some programs cannot be (easily) written using a high-level language – particularly some parts of a computer's operating system; Programs written in a high-level language may use more memory; Max 4 Max 3 if all advantages of one type of language or all disadvantages of one type of language	4

June 2017 AS Paper 2

04	2	Marks are for AO2 (analyse)	3														
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: left;">Point</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: left;">Expansion</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>There may not/probably is not an interpreter/compiler for the chip</td> <td>as it is bespoke / new</td> </tr> <tr> <td>As the chip is probably slow (A. low powered) / low in memory</td> <td>memory space needs to be used efficiently // code needs to be (time) efficient</td> </tr> <tr> <td>For an interpreted solution the chip would have to incorporate an interpreter</td> <td>which would increase the memory requirements // restrict the programmer to a specific language</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Platform dependence is not relevant</td> <td>since code will only run on one type of device</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) be faster / more efficient</td> <td rowspan="3"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) require less memory than high level code</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Assembly language (solution) provides for direct control of hardware A. by example R. registers</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Point	Expansion	There may not/probably is not an interpreter/compiler for the chip	as it is bespoke / new	As the chip is probably slow (A. low powered) / low in memory	memory space needs to be used efficiently // code needs to be (time) efficient	For an interpreted solution the chip would have to incorporate an interpreter	which would increase the memory requirements // restrict the programmer to a specific language	Platform dependence is not relevant	since code will only run on one type of device	(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) be faster / more efficient		(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) require less memory than high level code	Assembly language (solution) provides for direct control of hardware A. by example R. registers	
Point	Expansion																
There may not/probably is not an interpreter/compiler for the chip	as it is bespoke / new																
As the chip is probably slow (A. low powered) / low in memory	memory space needs to be used efficiently // code needs to be (time) efficient																
For an interpreted solution the chip would have to incorporate an interpreter	which would increase the memory requirements // restrict the programmer to a specific language																
Platform dependence is not relevant	since code will only run on one type of device																
(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) be faster / more efficient																	
(Translated) assembly language (solution) would (probably) require less memory than high level code																	
Assembly language (solution) provides for direct control of hardware A. by example R. registers																	

June 2017 Paper 2

05	4	<p>All marks AO1 (understanding)</p> <p>Advantages of high-level language (MAX 2):</p> <p>Program code is easier to understand/maintain/debug; Faster development time // programmers can be more productive // one line of HLL code can do the same job as many lines of assembly language; Programs are (more) portable (to other hardware platforms) Availability of flow control structures; A. Example(s) eg loops, selection Improved features for supporting modularity; A. Ability to use subroutines Built-in support for data structures; A. Example(s) eg arrays, records Language is problem-oriented; Support for different paradigms; A. Examples eg functional programming</p>	4
----	---	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------

		<p>Disadvantages of high-level language (MAX 2):</p> <p>Assembly language code may execute more quickly; R. If response suggests that faster execution is because translation is not required Assembly language code may use less memory; Assembly language gives direct/better access to computer hardware // enables direct manipulation of memory (contents); NE. "More efficient" for either executes more quickly or uses less memory</p> <p>Award marks for disadvantages as opposite of advantage points eg a disadvantage of assembly language could be "Program code is harder to understand/maintain". BUT do not award two marks for an advantage and its corresponding disadvantage.</p>	
--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Specimen AS Paper 1

01	5	<p>All marks AO1 (understanding)</p> <p>Use of indentation to separate out statement blocks; Use of comments to annotate the program code; Use of procedures / functions / sub-routines; Use of constants; Max 3, any from 4 above</p>	3
----	---	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---

Specimen AS Paper 2

06	3	<p>Mark is for AO1 (knowledge)</p> <p>1 mark: A language that is very similar to/ based upon the instruction set of the computer;</p>	1
----	---	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---